

Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE A (Applied Physics & Engineering) in press www.jzus.zju.edu.cn; www.springer.com/journal/11582 E-mail: jzus\_a@zju.edu.cn

#### Correspondence

https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.A2300514

## Monotonic mechanical behaviour of compacted completely decomposed granite with various inclusion levels of incineration bottom ash

Han-Lin WANG<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Cheng-Shuang YIN<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Qian-Yi ZHANG<sup>4</sup>, Qi-Wei LIU<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Zhen-Yu YIN<sup>5</sup>, Dong-Xing XUAN<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Center for Advanced Underground Space Technologies of Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China

<sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Building Safety and Energy Efficiency of the Ministry of Education, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China

<sup>3</sup>College of Civil Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China

<sup>4</sup>School of Civil Engineering, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, China

<sup>5</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

#### **1** Introduction

Completely decomposed granite (CDG) is widely distributed in South China (Xu et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023). The parent granite rock mass gradually loses features during the weathering process, and thus needs to be reinforced when used (Lan et al., 2003; Dassekpo et al., 2017; Alamanis et al., 2021). On the other hand, considering the huge demand for construction materials and limited natural resources, it is increasingly important to fully utilize solid waste (Gruhler et al., 2019; Anagnostopoulos et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2022, 2023a, 2023b). With high strength and environment-friendly characteristics, incineration bottom ash (IBA) seems to be a suitable reinforcement material for CDG (Ahmed and Khalid, 2011; Alhassan and Tank ó, 2012; Toraldo et al., 2013; Lynn et al., 2017; Xuan et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2020). Both reinforcement and environmental issues should be addressed when considering treatment of CDG with IBA.

To date, investigations of soil treatment with IBA have been widely reported. For example, Gupta

Zhen-Yu YIN, zhenyu.yin@polyu.edu.hk

et al. (2021) showed that IBA has better shear resistance and compressibility behaviour than soft soils. When IBA content is increased from 10% to 30%, the unconfined compressive strength of the expansive soil-IBA mixture significantly increases (Melese, 2022; Randhawa et al., 2022). However, the effect of the compaction degree of the matrix soil was not considered in most previous studies, although it was a significant indicator for soil reinforcement. Furthermore, the mechanism by which IBA reinforces soil has not yet been comprehensively interpreted.

To evaluate the feasibility of applying IBA for soil reinforcement, we examined the monotonic mechanical behaviour of a CDG-IBA mixture by a series of monotonic triaxial tests. Various volumetric amounts of IBA were added to the CDG, followed by compaction to different compaction degrees. The monotonic mechanical behaviours of the samples were analysed from the testing results. The investigation revealed the strengthening mechanism of the IBA in the compacted mixture.

#### 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Testing materials

The natural CDG soil was extracted from a highway construction site in Tai Wai, Hong Kong, China (Xu et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023). It was

<sup>🖂</sup> Qi-Wei LIU, liuqw@hnu.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(D)</sup> Zhen-Yu YIN, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4154-7304

Received Oct. 10, 2023; Revision accepted Dec. 14, 2023; Crosschecked

<sup>©</sup> Zhejiang University Press 2024

grinded and passed through a 2-mm sieve for testing. The grain-size distribution curve is shown in Fig. 1. The main minerals contained in the CDG were quartz, albite, illite, kaolinite, calcite, and muscovite, according to the X-ray diffraction (XRD) test. The main chemical components were obtained by X-ray fluorescence (XRF), and were found to be  $SiO_2$  (61.12%) and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (30.19%). The specific gravity  $G_s$  of the CDG was 2.59. The content of particles smaller than 0.075 mm was 54%, and the plastic limit and liquid limit were 15.3% and 33.5%, respectively. The soil could be classified as lean clay (CL; ASTM 2017). We conducted the standard Proctor compaction test (ASTM 2012), and the result is shown in Fig. 2. The optimum water content  $w_{opt-C}$  was 14.5% and the maximum dry density  $\rho_{d \max - C}$  was 1.83 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>.



Fig. 1 Grain size distribution curves of CDG and IBA



The IBA was collected from a waste-to-energy plant in Hang Zhou, China (Xuan et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2020). IBA particles ranging from 0.1 mm to 2 mm were used after screening and crushing cycles; the particle-size distribution is shown in Fig 1. IBA is a coarse-grained material with a rough surface tex-

ture, porous microstructure, and irregularly shaped particles. The IBA particles in this study primarily consisted of quartz and calcite, as determined by an XRD test (Lynn et al., 2017). The chemical components of IBA are relatively inactive, with a negligible effect on the physical properties of soil. The dry unit mass of IBA  $\rho_{s-1}$  was 2.60 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### 2.2 Definition of volumetric content of IBA



Fig. 3 Definition for soil constituents

To quantify the composition and clearly illustrate the soil structure, we introduced the volumetric content of IBA  $f_v$  (Fig. 3), representing the ratio of the IBA particle volume  $V_I$  to the total volume of the sample  $V_{total}$  (Seif El Dine et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2017, 2018a, 2018b):

$$f_{\rm v} = \frac{V_{\rm I}}{V_{\rm total}} \tag{1}$$

To better demonstrate the volumetric content of IBA particles (see Fig. 3), it is assumed that air and water only exist in the CDG soil, while the IBA contains only solid particles (following the definitions from Wang et al., 2018a). The chemical activity of the IBA is relatively stable, with negligible chemical effects on soil strength. The total volume of the sample  $V_{\text{total}}$  can be calculated by the size of the sample (diameter: 50 mm; height: 100 mm). If  $f_v$  is given, the  $V_{\text{I}}$  can be determined accordingly using Eq. (1).

Using  $\rho_{s-I} = 2.60 \text{ Mg/m}^3$ , the mass of the IBA  $m_{s-I}$ can be obtained as:

$$m_{\rm s-I} = \rho_{\rm s-I} V_{\rm I} \tag{2}$$

As shown in Fig. 3, the volume  $V_{\rm C}$  of the CDG can be calculated as:

$$V_{\rm C} = V_{\rm total} - V_{\rm I} = V_{\rm s-C} + V_{\rm a-C} + V_{\rm w-C}$$
 (3)

where  $V_{\text{s-C}}$ ,  $V_{\text{w-C}}$ , and  $V_{\text{a-C}}$  are the volumes of soil solids, water, and air in CDG, respectively.

During sample preparation, the water content of the CDG was controlled to the optimum water content  $w_{\text{opt-C}}$ . Three compaction degrees  $D_{\text{C}}$  of the CDG were adopted to the values used by Chen et al. (2019), defined as the ratio of the dry density of the CDG to its maximum dry density. Using the compaction parameters, the mass of the solid  $m_{\rm s-C}$  and water  $m_{\rm w-C}$ in the CDG can be determined as:

$$m_{s-C} = \rho_{dmax-C} D_C V_C \qquad (4)$$
$$m_{w-C} = m_{s-C} w_{opt-C} \qquad (5)$$

$$= m_{\rm s-C} W_{\rm opt-C}$$

#### 2.3 Testing procedures

We selected different values for the volumetric contents of IBA, as listed in Table 1. For sample preparation, we mixed the oven-dried CDG soil with water to achieve its optimum water content  $w_{opt-C}$ .

The mixed soil was stored in a sealed container for 24 hours for moisture homogenization. Then, the soil was mixed with predetermined masses of dry IBA particles, followed by uniform compaction in a mould in three layers to achieve the target compaction degree of the CDG (see Table 1).

After sample preparation, monotonic triaxial tests were conducted with the drainage valve open and an axial shear rate of 0.1 mm/min (following Wang et al., 2018a). No saturation program was applied after mounting the samples, so that all samples remained unsaturated during the test. The tests were terminated when the axial strain of samples reached 18%, at which point they were considered to have entered the ultimate state.

Sample	$D_{\rm c}(\%)$	$f_v(\%)$	$w_{\text{opt-C}}(\%)$	$ ho_{\rm dmax-C}~({\rm Mg/m^3})$	$S_{\text{r-C}}(\%)$	$m_{s-C}(g)$	$m_{s-I}(g)$	е	$c_{\rm ps}$ (kPa)	φ <sub>ps</sub> ()
Ι	85	0	14.5	1.83	56.5	305.4	0	0.66	46.7	23.4
Π	85	10	14.5	1.83		274.9	51.1	0.59	62.4	29.3
III	85	20	14.5	1.83		244.3	102.2	0.53	94.7	32.7
IV	85	30	14.5	1.83		213.8	153.2	0.47	132.6	39.4
V	85	40	14.5	1.83		183.3	204.4	0.41	196.4	43.5
VI	90	0	14.5	1.83	65.6	323.4	0	0.57	66.4	25.3
VII	90	10	14.5	1.83		291.0	51.1	0.52	70.1	31.5
VIII	90	20	14.5	1.83		258.7	102.2	0.46	100.2	37.2
IX	90	30	14.5	1.83		226.4	153.2	0.41	129.7	42.8
Х	90	40	14.5	1.83		194.0	204.4	0.37	162.7	45.3
XI	95	0	14.5	1.83	75.6	339.7	0	0.50	61.8	26.0
XII	95	10	14.5	1.83		305.7	51.1	0.45	81.3	33.0
XIII	95	20	14.5	1.83		271.7	102.2	0.41	110.4	40.7
XIV	95	30	14.5	1.83		237.8	153.2	0.37	132.8	46.9
XV	95	40	14.5	1.83		203.8	204.4	0.33	131.7	49.2

Table 1 Basic and mechanical properties of samples

Note:  $D_c$  is the compaction degree of CDG;  $f_v$  is the volumetric content of IBA;  $w_{opt-C}$ ,  $S_{r-C}$ , and  $\rho_{dmax-C}$  are the optimum water content, degree of saturation, and maximum dry density for CDG, respectively;  $m_{s-C}$  and  $m_{s-I}$  are dry masses of CDG and IBA, respectively; e is the initial void ratio; and  $\varphi_{ps}$  are the cohesion and internal friction angle at peak state, respectively

#### **3** Testing results

Figs. 4-6 present photographs of the samples after the monotonic triaxial test. It can be observed that the IBA was distributed evenly in the samples, which confirmed the thoroughness of sample preparation. On the whole, the samples exhibited compression failure at a low  $f_v$  of IBA (such as 0%), without a distinct shear band. As  $f_v$  increased, more IBA was observed on the surfaces and the shear bands became more obvious. The effect of IBA, however, was difficult to discern visually.

Figs. 7-9 present the stress-strain curves of samples under different confining pressures, with the deviator stress q and volumetric strain  $\varepsilon_v$  plotted against the axial strain  $\varepsilon_a$ . The stresses in the legends represent the confining pressures (Figs. 7-9). For the

variation of the volumetric strain, a negative value indicates dilatancy and a positive value indicates contraction (following Wang et al., 2018a). With a given confining pressure and compaction degree, the peak deviator stress  $q_{\rm max}$  increases with the increase of  $f_{y}$ . Under the same confining pressure, the curve generally moves up with the increase of compaction degree, except for the case of  $f_y = 40\%$ . In general, samples exhibited contraction followed by dilatancy. With an increasing  $f_{y}$  value, the dilatancy became more pronounced. Under a given confining pressure, the effect of the compaction degree on the volumetric variation of the samples was not significant. For clarity, the initial/elastic modulus, cohesion, and internal friction angle at peak state, as well as the Poisson's ratio and dilatancy angle, were also calculated for reference, as shown in Table 2.



 $f_v = 0\%, 120$  kPa  $f_v = 10\%, 120$  kPa  $f_v = 20\%, 120$  kPa  $f_v = 30\%, 120$  kPa  $f_v = 40\%, 120$  kPa Fig. 4 Visual views of samples after shearing:  $D_c = 85\%$ . Note: the stresses in this figure represent the confining pressures



 $f_v = 0\%, 120$  kPa  $f_v = 10\%, 120$  kPa  $f_v = 20\%, 120$  kPa  $f_v = 30\%, 120$  kPa  $f_v = 40\%, 120$  kPa Fig. 5 Visual views of samples after shearing:  $D_c = 90\%$ . Note: the stresses in this figure represent the confining pressures



 $f_v = 10\%, 120$ kPa  $f_v = 20\%, 120$ kPa  $f_v = 30\%, 120$ kPa  $f_v = 40\%, 120$ kPa Fig. 6 Visual views of samples after shearing:  $D_c = 95\%$ . Note: the stresses in this figure represent the confining pressures



Fig. 7 Stress-strain behavior of all samples at  $\sigma_3 = 30$  kPa: (a), (b), and (c) relationship between deviator stress and axial strain; (d), (e) and (f) relationship between volumetric strain and axial strain.



Fig. 8 Stress-strain behavior of all samples at  $\sigma_3 = 60$  kPa: (a), (b), and (c) relationship between deviator stress and axial strain; (d), (e) and (f) relationship between volumetric strain and axial strain.



Fig. 9 Stress-strain behavior of all samples at  $\sigma_3 = 120$  kPa: (a), (b), and (c) relationship between deviator stress and axial strain; (d), (e) and (f) relationship between volumetric strain and axial strain.

#### 4 Discussions

Fig. 10 shows the variation of the peak deviator stress  $q_{\text{max}}$  versus the volumetric content of IBA  $f_v$ , at different confining pressures and compaction degrees. At a specific compaction degree, we observe the bi-linear increasing trends of  $q_{\text{max}}$  with  $f_v$ . An intersection point can be identified by the two fitting lines with a given confining pressure and compaction degree. For all confining pressures, the intersection points fall in a narrow range for the volumetric content of IBA  $f_v$ , namely the characteristic volumetric content of IBA  $f_{v-cha}$ . In other words, at a given compaction degree,  $q_{\text{max}}$  increases slowly with the increase of  $f_v$ , before  $f_v$  reaches its characteristic value, regardless of the confining pressure. In this case, the CDG-CDG contacts control the mechanical behaviour of the samples. However, a dramatic increase of  $q_{\rm max}$  appears with the increase of  $f_v$  beyond the characteristic value, with the CDG-IBA and IBA-IBA contacts dominating the mechanical behaviour. On the other hand, it is evident from Fig. 10 that as the compaction degree increases, the characteristic volumetric content of IBA  $f_{v-cha}$  decreases. By analyzing the cross-section of the sample mixed with IBA and the triaxial testing results, this observation can be explained as follows.

#### 8 | J Zhejiang Univ-Sci A (Appl Phys & Eng) in press

Sample $D_{c}(70)$ $J_{v}(70)$ $O_{3}(Kla)$ $L_{0}(Kla)$	<i>v ψ</i> ()
V5 0 20 170	0.10 30
85 0 50 17.0	0.16 NA
1 85 0 60 15.8	0.16 N.A.
85 0 120 20.0	0.09 N.A.
85 10 30 23.9	0.20 12.7
II 85 I0 60 28.5	0.19 3.7
85 10 120 30.1	0.17 N.A.
85 20 30 29.8	0.23 21.1
III 85 20 60 30.1	0.18 12.9
85 20 120 48.9	0.11 6.0
85 30 30 38.4	0.18 27.4
IV 85 30 60 44.2	0.10 22.3
85 30 120 55.9	0.12 16.1
85 40 30 39.8	0.14 32.4
V 85 40 60 56.4	0.06 29.1
85 40 120 65.7	0.14 24.2
90 0 30 17.1	0.22 4.1
VI 90 0 60 17.3	0.20 N.A.
90 0 120 25.0	0.17 N.A.
90 10 30 25.1	0.20 10.3
VII 90 10 60 27.2	0.23 6.2
90 10 120 34.0	0.18 2.7
90 20 30 31.5	0.23 16.6
VIII 90 20 60 37.0	0.10 15.5
90 20 120 47.5	0.16 9.5
90 30 30 38.1	0.17 26.7
IX 90 30 60 40.4	0.17 21.4
90 30 120 53.2	0.13 17.0
90 40 30 44.0	0.12 31.7
X 90 40 60 55.3	0.11 24.5
90 40 120 70.7	0.07 23.0
95 0 30 15.3	0.32 2.8
XI 95 0 60 19.9	0.29 1.9
95 0 120 23.9	0.24 N.A.
95 10 30 24.4	0.19 12.7
XII 95 10 60 28.3	0.21 8.8
95 10 120 30.4	0.18 3.5
95 20 30 28.9	0.15 21.1
XIII 95 20 60 31.4	0.14 16.5
95 20 120 43.3	0.11 12.1
95 30 30 368	0.14 27.4
XIV 95 30 60 40.6	0.11 25.0
95 30 120 50.6	0.09 19.7
95 40 30 53.9	0.07 32.4
XV 95 40 60 542	0.07 27.3
95 40 120 68.1	0.18 22.4

Table 2 Mechanical properties of samples

Note:  $D_c$  is the compaction degree of CDG;  $f_v$  is the volumetric content of IBA;  $\sigma_3$  is the confining pressure; and  $E_0$ , v, and  $\psi$  are the initial/elastic modulus, Poisson's ratio, and dilatancy angle, respectively. N.A. = not applicable.

Fig. 11 plots the mechanism for the reinforcement of the CDG soil by the inclusion of IBA particles at two volumetric content levels. The coordination number  $N_{ci}$  is defined as the number of other particles closest to a single particle, to demonstrate the contacts of particles quantitatively. Taking the marked IBA particle enlarged in Fig. 11 as an example, the  $N_{ci}$  of this particle equals 2, 4, and 6. For the whole slice, the coordination number  $N_c$  is deter-

mined as the mean value of  $N_{ci}$  for all the inclusion particles (Patricia et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2018b):

$$N_{\rm c} = \frac{\sum N_{ci}}{Q} \tag{6}$$

where  $N_{ci}$  = coordination number for each IBA particle; and Q = quantity of IBA particles in a slice.



When  $f_{y} = f_{y1}$  and the compaction degree is 85%, 90%, and 95%, the coordination number  $N_c$  is approximately equal to 0.28, 0.37, and 0.48. As the volumetric content of IBA approaches  $f_{v2}$  ( $f_{v2} < f_{v1}$ ), the coordination number  $N_c$  is approximately 0.19, 0.28, and 0.30 for the compaction degrees of 85%, 90%, and 95%. With greater compaction, the coordination number increases for a given volumetric content of IBA. At a given compaction degree, the coordination number also increases with the increase of volumetric content of IBA. The larger the coordination number, the larger the proportion of contact points of IBA. It can be seen from this example that the coordination number is the same for 85% compaction degree ( $f_v = f_{v1}$ ) and 90% compaction degree (  $f_v = f_{v2}$  ). This means that the same soil strength can be achieved by increasing either the compaction degree of the CDG or the volumetric content of IBA. In other words, increasing the compaction of the CDG can strengthen the controlling effect of the IBA contacts on the soil mechanical behaviour. This provides a direct verification that the

characteristic volumetric content of IBA decreases with greater compaction of CDG soil (see Fig. 10).



Fig. 11 Mechanism for reinforcement of CDG soil by the inclusion of IBA particles at two volumetric IBA contents

#### 5 Conclusions

In this study, we investigated the mechanical behaviour of a CDG-IBA mixture by performing a series of monotonic triaxial tests, with various volumetric contents of IBA and with different confining pressures and compaction degrees of the CDG soil. The CDG soil was kept in the same state for a given compaction degree, characterized by its optimum water content and designated dry density. Our findings, determined with the appropriate method, can serve as a reference for relevant studies on soil reinforcement using solid waste. The main conclusions are as follows.

(1) The test results show that adding more IBA particles leads to more significant dilatancy. Moreover, the maximum deviator stress increases with the volumetric content of IBA (a bi-linear increasing trend can be observed).

(2) Identification of the characteristic volumetric content of IBA allows the separation of two zones with different IBA effects. The higher the proportion of IBA contacts, the greater the influence on the soil and the stronger the shear strength. When the volumetric content of IBA is smaller than the characteristic value, the mechanical behaviour is dominated by CDG-CDG contacts. By contrast, when the volumetric content of IBA increases beyond the characteristic value, the CDG-IBA and IBA-IBA contacts become the dominant mechanism for the mechanical behaviour.

(3) As the compaction degree of the CDG increases, the coordination number of IBA increases, which strengthens the controlling effect of CDG-IBA and IBA-IBA contacts on the mechanical behaviour of the soil. As a result, the characteristic volumetric content of IBA decreases accordingly. In summary, similar soil reinforcement can be achieved by increasing either the compaction degree of the matrix soil or the inclusion content of IBA.

#### Acknowledgments

The financial supports from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 52378341, 51938005, 52090082) are gratefully acknowledged.

#### Author contributions

Han-Lin WANG, Zhen-Yu YIN, and Dong-Xing XUAN designed the research. Qian-Yi ZHANG and Cheng-Shuang YIN processed the corresponding data. Cheng-Shuang YIN wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Han-Lin WANG and Cheng-Shuang YIN helped to organize the manuscript. Han-Lin WANG, Cheng-Shuang YIN, and Qi-Wei LIU revised and edited the final version.

#### **Conflict of interest**

Han-Lin WANG, Cheng-Shuang YIN, Qian-Yi ZHANG, Qi-Wei LIU, Zhen-Yu YIN, and Dong-Xing XUAN declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### References

Ahmed AT, Khalid HA, 2011. Effectiveness of novel and traditional treatments on the performance of incinerator bottom ash waste. Waste management, 31 (12): 2431-2439.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.07.015

- Alamanis N, Lokkas P, Chrysanidis TA, Christodoulou D, Paschalis E, 2021. Assessment principles for the mechanical behavior of clay soils. WSEAS Trans, 16: 47-61. https://doi.org/10.37394/232011.2021.16.6
- Alhassan HM, Tankó AM, 2012. Characterization of solid waste incineration bottom ash and the potential for its use. International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, 2(4): 516-522.
- Anagnostopoulos CA, Chrysanidis T, Anagnostopoulou M, 2020. Experimental data of cement grouting in coarse soils with different superplasticisers. Data in Brief, 30: 105612.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.105612

- ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials), 2012. Standard test methods for laboratory compaction characteristics of soil using standard effort. ASTM D698-12. West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM International.
- ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials), 2017. Standard practice for classification of soils for engineering purposes (unified soil classification system). ASTM D2487-11. West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM International.
- Chen RP, Qi S, Wang HL, Cui YJ, 2019. Microstructure and hydraulic properties of coarse-grained subgrade soil used in high-speed railway at various compaction degrees. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 31(12): 04019301.

https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0002972

Dassekpo JBM, Zha X, Zhan J, 2017. Compressive strength performance of geopolymer paste derived from Completely Decomposed Granite (CDG) and partial fly ash replacement. Construction and Building Materials, 138: 195-203.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.01.133

Gruhler K, Bimesmeier T, Deilmann C, 2019. Secondary Materials in the Building Sector – Energy and Material Flows. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 290(1): 012014. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/290/1/012014

- Gupta G,Datta M, Ramana GV, Alappat BJ, 2021. MSW incineration bottom ash (MIBA) as a substitute to conventional materials in geotechnical applications: A characterization study from India and comparison with literature. Construction and Building Materials, 308: 124925. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.124925
- Jiang X, Xiao R, Bai Y, Huang BS, Ma YT, 2022. Influence of waste glass powder as a supplementary cementitious material (SCM) on physical and mechanical properties of cement paste under high temperatures. Journal of Cleaner Production, 340: 130778.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.130778

Jiang X, Zhu HH, Yan ZG, Zhang FS, Ye F, Li P, Zhang XH, Dai ZR, Bai Y, Huang BS, 2023a. A state-of-art review on development and progress of backfill grouting materials for shield tunneling. Developments in the Built Environment, 100250.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dibe.2023.100250

- Jiang X, Zhang YY, Zhang Y, Ma JM, Xiao R, Guo FD, Bai Y, Huang BS, 2023b. Influence of size effect on the properties of slag and waste glass-based geopolymer paste. Journal of Cleaner Production, 383: 135428. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.135428
- Lan HX, Hu RL, Yue ZQ, Lee CF, Wang SJ, 2003. Engineering and geological characteristics of granite weathering profiles in South China. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 21(4): 353-364.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S1367-9120(02)00020-2

- Lynn CJ, Ghataora GS, Obe RKD, 2017. Municipal incinerated bottom ash (MIBA) characteristics and potential for use in road pavements. International Journal of Pavement Research and Technology, 10(2): 185-201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijprt.2016.12.003
- Melese DT, 2022. Utilization of Waste Incineration Bottom Ash to Enhance Engineering Properties of Expansive Subgrade Soils. Advances in Civil Engineering, 2022: 7716921.

https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7716921

Patricia JC, Jean-Paul J, LANTUÉJOUL CHRISTIAN, 2006. Practical determination of the coordination number in granular media. Image Analysis & Stereology, 25(1): 55-61.

https://doi.org/10.5566/ias.v25.p55-61

- Randhawa KS, Chauhan R, 2022. Stabilizing black cotton soil in subgrade with municipal solid waste incineration ash for lowering greenhouse gas emission: A review. Materials Today: Proceedings, 50(P5): 1145-1151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.08.037
- Seif El Dine B, Dupla JC, Frank R, Canou J, Kazan Y, 2010. Mechanical characterization of matrix coarse-grained soils with a large-sized triaxial device. Canadian Ge-otechnical Journal, 47(4): 425-438. https://doi.org/10.1139/T09-113
- Tang P, Chen W, Xuan D, Zuo Y, and Poon C S, 2020. Investigation of cementitious properties of different constituents in municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash as

supplementary cementitious materials. Journal of Cleaner Production, 258: 120675.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120675

- Toraldo E, Saponaro S, Careghini A, Mariani E, 2013. Use of stabilized bottom ash for bound layers of road pavements. Journal of Environmental Management, 121: 117-123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.02.037
- Wang HL, Cui YJ, Lamas-López F, Dupla JC, Canou J, Calon N, Saussine G, Aimedieu P, Chen RP, 2017. Effects of inclusion contents on resilient modulus and damping ratio of unsaturated track-bed materials. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 54(12): 1672-1681. https://doi.org/10.1139/cgj-2016-0673
- Wang HL, Cui YJ, Lamas-López F, Calon N, Saussine G, Dupla JC, Canou J, Aimedieu P, Chen RP, 2018a. Investigation on the mechanical behavior of track-bed materials at various contents of coarse grains. Construction and Building Materials, 164: 228-237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.12.209
- Wang HL, Cui YJ, Lamas-López F, Dupla JC, Canou J, Calon N, Saussine G, Aimedieu P, Chen RP, 2018b. Permanent Deformation of Track-Bed Materials at Various Inclusion Contents under Large Number of Loading Cycles. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 144(8): 04018044.

https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001911

Wang HL, Pathak B, Yin ZY, 2023. Investigation on microstructure, unconfined compressive strength, and thermal conductivity of compacted CDG soil by MICP treatment during curing. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 35(6): 04023131.

https://doi.org/10.1061/JMCEE7.MTENG-14901

- Xuan D, Tang P, Poon CS, 2018. Limitations and quality upgrading techniques for utilization of MSW incineration bottom ash in engineering applications – A review. Construction and Building Materials, 190: 1091-1102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.09.174
- Xu W, Yin ZY, Wang HL, Wang X, 2022. Experimental study on the monotonic mechanical behavior of completely decomposed granite soil reinforced by disposable face-mask chips. Journal of Cleaner Production, 352: 131528.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131528

### <u>中文概要</u>

# 题 目:不同焚烧残渣掺量下压实全风化花岗岩加固土静力学特性

**作 者:**王瀚霖 <sup>1,2,3</sup>, 尹承双 <sup>1,2,3</sup>, 张谦益 <sup>4</sup>, 刘骐炜 <sup>1,2,3</sup>, 尹振 宇<sup>5</sup>; 玄东兴 <sup>5</sup>

- 12 | J Zhejiang Univ-Sci A (Appl Phys & Eng) in press
- 机 构:<sup>1</sup>湖南大学,地下空间开发先进技术研究中心,中 国长沙,410082;<sup>2</sup>湖南大学,建筑安全与节能 教育部重点实验室,中国长沙,410082;<sup>3</sup>湖南大 学,土木工程学院,中国长沙,410082;<sup>4</sup>郑州大 学,土木工程学院,中国郑州,450001;<sup>5</sup>香港理 工大学,土木与环境工程系,中国香港,九龙, 红磡
- 摘 要:近年来,生活垃圾不断增加,产生了大量的焚烧 残渣(IBA)。同时,考虑到土建材料的巨大用量需 求和有限的自然资源,充分利用固废材料变得越 来越重要。本研究通过静三轴试验,研究了不同 IBA 体积含量和不同 CDG 压实度下 IBA 对压实 全分化花岗岩 (CDG)试样静力学特性的影响。结 果表明,随着 IBA 体积含量的增加,最大偏应力 或抗剪强度逐渐增大,呈双线性增加趋势。当IBA 的体积含量超过某一特征值时, 增长速率更加显 著。到达此值之前, CDG-CDG 接触主导力学特 性,而在达到此值之后,CDG-IBA 和 IBA-IBA 接触为主导。且随着压实度的增加,由于 IBA 配 位数和 IBA 接触比例增加, IBA 的特征体积含量 值降低。因此,在实际工程中,无论是提高 CDG 的压实度还是提高 IBA 的体积含量,都有助于提 高加固土体的目标强度。
- 关键词: 全风化花岗岩; 焚烧残渣; 压实度; 特征体积含 量; 土体加固